Interview Summary

Application No. 09/458,849 Applicant(s)

Schiller et al.

Examiner

Jeffrey A. ROSSI

Group Art Unit 2176 t al.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Jeffrey A. ROSSI, Art Unit 2176 (3) Cynthia A. CASBY, Reg. No. 47,475
(2)
Date of Interview Mar 8, 2002
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\text{ Yes} \) e) \(\bar{\text{N}} \) No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None
Identification of prior art discussed: Nane
Agreement with respect to the claims 1) 🔯 was reached. g was not reached. h N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Examiner informed applicant's representative that Figure 2B was missing from the case. In response, Applicant's
representative faxed a correction to Figure 2, which relabels it figure 2A, and supplied the omitted Figure 2B, as proposed drawing corrections (attached hereto).
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STAMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
54 \1 1

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.